

Introduction to Cryptographic Hash Functions

Vincent Rijmen

<http://www.iaik.tugraz.at/aboutus/people/rijmen/index.php>

Hash&Stream, Salzburg, 2007/02/01

***Institute for Applied Information Processing
and Communications (IAIK) - Krypto Group***

***Faculty of Computer Science
Graz University of Technology***



Overview

- Requirements
- Applications
- Constructions


The working principle of a hash function.

Input: data of variable length

Output: fixed-length
fingerprint


Fast processing algorithm

Secure




Second pre-image resistance

Given an input,
Can't find a second
input with same
fingerprint



Collision resistance

Can't find two
inputs with same
fingerprint




What does collision-resistance mean?

Model:


- Hash function inputs: balls
- Hash function output space: set of bins
- Hash function: throws balls in bins

Pigeonhole principle



If there are more balls than bins, then at least one bin gets at least two balls.


Birthday paradox



More than \sqrt{N} balls

\Rightarrow more than 50% chance that two balls go into the same bin.

A collision attack



Less than \sqrt{N} balls, but still two balls into the same bin (*academic attack*)

Feasible number M balls (*practical attack*)

Summary

Collision, 2nd preimage, preimage resistance:

- *Computational* properties
- Attack: exploiting internal structure to be more efficient than the generic attack
- Collision attack is easier than 2nd preimage attack is easier than preimage attack

Extra: almost-collisions

What if two inputs give *almost* the same output?

- Called: *near-collision*
- Strictly speaking: no attack
- Usually not wanted
 - May be first step towards collision
 - May interact badly with applications

Applications of hash functions

- Payment schemes
- Secure storage of passwords
- Generation of pseudo-random numbers
- Electronic (digital) signatures
- Commitments

Example 1: Coin flip by telephone

Generate random bit b

- 0 = heads; 1 = tails



Choice: head or tails




Compare b with choice

Outcome



Commitment


- Generate random number r
- Heads/tails = first bit of r
- Compute *commitment* $x = \text{hash}(r)$



Requirements

- Collision resistance
 - Otherwise commitment is not binding
- Preimage resistance
 - Otherwise commitment can be inverted

Example 2: Electronic Signature




Cut and paste is easy with digital documents

Electronic signatures are *different* for each document

$f(\text{content of document, secret of signer})$

Example 2: Electronic Signature




Cut and paste is easy with digital documents

Electronic signatures are *different* for each document

$f(\text{fingerprint, secret of signer})$

Attack on Electronic Signature



Two documents producing the same fingerprint.

Same fingerprints \Rightarrow same signatures.

Substitute the original document by a forgery

Constructing hash functions


- With or without secret parameter (key)
 - Message Authentication Codes (MAC)
 - Universal hash functions
 - Manipulation Detection Codes (MDC, hash)

- Compression function
- Extension method
 - Tree
 - Merkle's method


Compression function

- Fixed-size inputs
- Processes a part (*block*) of the message
- Outputs are inputs for next iteration

Tree



Merkle's method



Merkle-Damgaard Theorem

If:

- Unique padding of message until length is multiple of block length
- Length of (original) message included into padding

Then:

Collision-resistant $f \Rightarrow$ collision-resistant
hash

Remarks


- Padding with length = Merkle-Damgaard strengthening
- No-one uses construction with provably collision-resistant f

Compression function constructions

- Block cipher based
- Dedicated designs
- Modular arithmetic

Block-cipher based designs

- MDC-2, MDC-4 (DES)
- Davies-Meyer, Matyas-Meyer-Oseas, Miyaguchi-Preneel



Motivation


- Reduce amount of primitives to be implemented
- Block cipher DES was the only cryptographic primitive with a certification
- Block cipher + feed forward = noninvertible map without apparent structure

The MD4 family of hash functions


- MD4 [Rivest 1990]
 - Avoid export of encryption software
 - Fast in software on 32-bit CPU's
- MD5 [Rivest 1992]
 - More secure version

- Extended MD4, RIPE-MD, RIPEMD-160
- SHA, SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512, SHA-224
- HAVAL-3, HAVAL-4, HAVAL-5, HAS-1, HAS-2, ...

MD5 structure



MD5 step



Picture: Wikipedia

MD5

- Chaining variable: 4×32 bits
- Message input: 512 bits (16×32)
- 4 rounds of 16 steps
- Each step processes one message word
- Rounds differ in
 - Order of message words
 - Shift constants
 - Constants K_i
 - Function F

MD5 functions F

- $IF(x,y,z) = xy \oplus (\sim x)z$
- $IF'(x,y,z) = xz \oplus y(\sim z)$
- $XOR(x,y,z) = x \oplus y \oplus z$
- $F4(x,y,z) = y \oplus (x \text{ OR } (\sim z))$

Other hash functions also use:

- $MAJ(x,y,z) = xy \oplus yz \oplus xz$

Always acting on 32 bits in parallel

Attacks on MD4

- Reduced versions
 - Bosselaers and den Boer (*)
 - Merkle
 - Vaudenay
- Full version, collisions and preimages:
 - Dobbertin (*)

- (*) Also results on extended MD4, MD5
- Not further

The MASH functions

- Based on difficulty of factoring
 - Hence not really symmetric cryptography
 - Slow
- MASH-1(n)

$$y_i = 1111x_{i1}1111x_{i2}1111\dots x_{it}$$

$$h_{i+1} = (((h_i \oplus y_i) \vee 0xF00\dots 0)^2 \bmod pq) \oplus h_i$$

- MASH-2(n): exponent 2^8+1

Conclusions

- Very little diversity in designs
- Everyone seemed quite confident about the security of SHA-1 and siblings
 - Also reflected in specification of applications